1 2 3	TED W. CASSMAN (SBN 98932) ARGUEDAS, CASSMAN & HEADLEY, LL 803 Hearst Avenue Berkeley, CA 94710 Telephone: (510) 845-3000	P
4	Attorneys for Defendant Victor Chen	
5		
7	IN THE DISTRICT COUR	RT OF THE UNITED STATES
8	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
10		
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	No. CR 13-180-2 RS
12	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
13	vs.	ORDER RE: RESTITUTION AND AMENDED PRELIMINARY
14	VICTOR CHEN,	ORDER OF FORFEITURE
15	Defendant.	
16	/	
17	STIPULATION	
18	WHEREAS, on April 16, 2013, defendant Victor Chen entered into a plea	
19	agreement, whereby he agreed that \$610,099 in illegally derived proceeds are subject	
20	to forfeiture;	
21	WHEREAS, on June 10, 2013, the Court entered a Preliminary Order of	
22	Forfeiture directing that \$610,999 shall be forfeited as proceeds obtained directly and	
23	indirectly from the commission of the offense of conviction;	
24	WHEREAS, on or about July 8, 2013, defendant Chen presented to the United	
25	States a cashier's check for \$610,999, which defendant Chen agrees consists of	
26	proceeds from the offense of conviction, or of substitute property that qualifies for	

forfeiture under an applicable statute; 1 2 WHEREAS, Leerink Swann, LLC has submitted a claim for restitution in the 3 amount of approximately \$326,281.82; WHEREAS, in its Judgment entered on July 24, 2013, the Court deferred ruling 4 5 as to whether to order restitution and, if so, what amount; 6 THEREFORE, the parties stipulate and agree as follows: 7 (1) the parties shall request that the Court hold a hearing to determine whether to order any restitution; 8 (2) in the event the Court orders that defendant Chen pay an amount of 9 restitution (the "restitution amount"), the parties agree that the restitution amount shall 10 be paid from the funds that Chen presented to the United States, and that any funds not 11 applied towards restitution shall be forfeited to the United States. The parties further 12 agree to recommend that the attached [Proposed] Order re: Restitution and Amended 13 Preliminary Order of Forfeiture be entered following the hearing. 14 15 Dated: September 24, 2013 16 17 TED W. CASSMAN, Attorney for Victor Chen 18 19 Dated: September 24, 2013 20 Defendant 21 Dated: September 24, 2013 22 ROBERT LEACH, Asst. U.S. Attorney 23 24 25

26

[PROPOSED] ORDER

Pursuant to the stipulation and good cause appearing, it is HEREBY ORDERED that the Court shall hold a hearing on October 22, 2013, to determine whether to order any restitution, that any restitution ordered as to defendant Victor Chen shall be paid from the funds (\$610,099) that Chen previously presented to the United States, and that any funds not applied towards restitution shall be forfeited to the United States.

IT IS SO ORDERED this <u>2nd</u> day of <u>October</u> 2013.

HON. ROBERT SEEBOOG, United States District Court Judge

ATTACHMENT TO STIPULATION

[PROPOSED] ORDER RE: RESTITUTION AND AMENDED PRELIMINARY ORDER OF FORFEITURE

On April 16, 2013, defendant Victor Chen entered into a plea agreement, whereby he agreed that \$610,099 in illegally derived proceeds are subject to forfeiture.

On June 10, 2013, the Court entered a Preliminary Order of Forfeiture directing that \$610,999 shall be forfeited as proceeds obtained directly and indirectly from the commission of the offense of conviction.

On or about July 8, 2013, defendant Chen presented to the United States a cashier's check for \$610,999, which defendant Chen agrees consists of proceeds from the offense of conviction, or of substitute property that qualifies for forfeiture under an applicable statute;

Having considered the Stipulation and [Proposed] Order Re: Restitution and Amended Preliminary Order of Forfeiture, the docket in this case, and good cause appearing,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3663A, defendant Victor

Chen shall pay \$______ to Leerink Swann, LLC as restitution for the commission of the offense of conviction.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, pursuant to Federal Rule 32.2(e), an amended Preliminary Order of Forfeiture in the amount of \$_____ shall be entered against the defendant pursuant to the provisions of 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C) and 28 U.S.C. § 2461(c).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, the court maintain jurisdiction to enforce the Order of Forfeiture, and to amend it as necessary, pursuant to Federal Rule 32.2(e).

1	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, pursuant to Rule 32.2(b)(3) of the Federal		
2	Criminal Rules of Procedure, this Order of Forfeiture shall become Final Order as to the		
3	defendant at the time of sentencing and shall be made part of the sentence and		
4	included in the judgment.		
5			
6	IT IS SO ORDERED this day of2013.		
7			
8	HON. ROBERT SEEBORG,		
9	United States District Court Judge		
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			